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Beaches in a Changing Climate

67% of Southern CA beaches will need human intervention to survive to 2100 - Vitousek, et al., 2017

Likely that Central CA beaches will need similar attention

Two important developments:
Sediment Processes
Regional Sediment Story

- Generally accepted north-south flow for sand due to currents and waves
- Mud plumes more dispersive across the shelf then reworked by waves

Edwards, 2002
Regional Sediment Story

- Grain sizes on the seafloor

**USGS, 2001**
Regional Sediment Story

- Morphology

Sand Waves at the Mouth of San Francisco Bay, California

Mavericks Reef Structure

Monterey Submarine Canyon
Regional Sediment Story

- Sediment Thickness on Seafloor
Sediment Units

- **Littoral cell** - geographic area offshore that contains a complete cycle of sedimentation including sources, transport paths, and sinks.
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Managing Sediment Along the Coast
Coastal Regional Sediment Management Plans (CRSMPs)

Present Ideas for Local Projects
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Extent of completed and in-progress CRSMPs
Sediment Management Tools

- Traditional (Gray) Infrastructure
  - Jetties/groins
  - Seawalls/riprap
  - Breakwaters/reefs
- Impacts to Beach Ecosystem

"The birds would not sit in front of the seawalls — their food was gone"

Jenifer Dugan, UCSB

Dugan et al (2017)
Sediment Management Tools

- Traditional (Gray) Infrastructure
  - Jetties/groins
  - Seawalls
  - Breakwaters/reefs

- Softer approaches
  - Beach nourishment
  - Living shorelines
  - Dredging

- Overarching
  - Restoration of natural processes and habitats (remove dams and redesign culverts)
  - Retreat

Carlsbad Nourishment, SANDAG
Beach Morphology and Dynamics

- Parts of our beaches
Beach Morphology and Dynamics

- Seasonal cycles
- Summer is widest
  - Low wave energy moves sand onshore
- Winter is most eroded
  - High wave energy pulls sand to offshore bars
Seasonality is Variable
Seasonality is Variable
Seasonality is Variable
Seasonality is Variable

- 2017 compared to 2016
  - Larger waves with long period occurred in late June and early July
  - Some of the wave events came from the south
- Result:
  - Increase in mid-summer wave energy directed at Bolinas and other south-facing shores
Beneficial Reuse and Beach Nourishment → BRBN

- **Beneficial reuse** - the application of dredged materials determined to be eligible for use in locations for enhancement, restoration, or creation of a habitat.

- **Beach nourishment** - the process of dumping or pumping sand from elsewhere onto an eroding shoreline to create a new beach or to widen the existing beach.
Methods of Beach Nourishment

Figure 4.1 Dune nourishment

Figure 4.3 Profile nourishment

Figure 4.4 Nearshore bar nourishment
Tenets of BRBN

- We want:
  1. Clean and compatible sediment
  2. Minimal biological impacts
  3. Long-lasting placement

- We consider:
  1. Sediment sources for beach nourishment
     1. Cleanliness, match, proximity
  2. Potential effects on sensitive species and habitats
  3. Nearshore dynamics
     1. Transport pathways
     2. Erosion uncertainty
Federal Dredged Material Programs

- **Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972**
  covers ocean waters

- **Clean Water Act**
  covers coastal and inland waters

- **National Environmental Policy Act**
  requires consideration of environmental impacts

- **US Army Corps of Engineers**
  conducts Civil Works dredging projects and issues dredging permits

- **US Environmental Protection Agency**
  provides environmental criteria, review/concurrence of permits, and ocean disposal site designation and management

- **Federal, State, and Local Agencies**
  provide reviews and authorizations as appropriate
1. Clean and Compatible Sediment

Federal and state required with oversight by EPA and Water Board

1. Sampling and Analysis Procedure for Beneficial Reuse of Sediment
2. Tier I Information
3. Project Description
4. Computation of Sampling and Analysis Requirements
5. Sampling Procedures
6. Physical and Chemical Testing
7. Biological Testing (if required based on results of previous tests)
8. Personnel Responsibilities
Contaminants and Sediment

- Positively-charged contaminants bind with negatively-charged clay particles
- Contaminant-mud colloids incorporate into flocs
- Flocs settle to seafloor
Contaminants and Sediment

- Sand is not a typical carrier of contaminants

Take home message:

- grain size
- contaminants

Legend:
- Offshore site 1
- Offshore site 2

George et al, 2007
2. Minimal Biological Impacts

- Smothering
- Habitat conversion
  - Loss of rocky habitat? Are those habitats naturally occurring or a product of the armoring?
  - Addition of wrong sediment size - too much mud can change from a sandy (crab) to a muddy (worm) environment
Beach Nourishment BMPs for Biology


Goal: minimize recovery times and retain similar benthic infaunal community composition

1. Avoid beach nourishment activities during peak larval recruitment
2. Complete projects prior to the natural seasonal decline
3. Use compatible sediments between the native beach and the borrow source
4. Locate borrow sites in areas that are likely to refill rapidly with beach compatible sediments
3. Long-lasting Placement

- Nearshore dynamics
  - Seasonal cycle of beaches
- Erosion rates
- Climatic events
  - ENSO changes
- Placement Specifics
  - Volume
  - Frequency
Where’d the Placed Sand Go?

- Sand doesn’t go away, it is stored offshore

Willson et al (2017)
BRBN Case Study 1: Seal Beach Wildlife Refuge, Orange Co

- Sediment Augmentation Project in a Protected Wetland
  1. Dredge Huntington Harbor
  2. Analyze sediment
  3. Clean mud to the wetlands
  4. Clean sand to the beaches
BRBN Case Study 2: Ocean Beach, San Francisco

- City of SF and NPS (GGNRA)
  - Sand trucking from NOB to SOB
- USACE
  - Single placement of 300,000 cubic yards
    - Dredged sediment pumped onshore at Sloat and to 4000’ south
  - Designation as permanent site
- Ocean Beach Master Plan
  - 2 million cubic yards of sand placed every 10 years from dredging SF Shipping Channel
Surfer’s Beach Sand Replenishment Pilot Project
Project Background

- Construction of the East Breakwater at Pillar Point Harbor completed in 1961, resulted in increased erosion rates.
- 2007: District formally requested that US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) investigate erosion.
Project Background

- The USACE analysis determined that the bluffs along Surfer’s Beach eroded at an average rate of 1.64 feet per year between 1993 and 2012.

- The study also found that there is a significant accumulation of sand within Pillar Point Harbor.
USACE Medium Beach Fill Design Engineering Model Results

Surfers Beach - Medium Beach Fill Design (140,000 to 150,000 CY)

Approximately 140,000 to 150,000 CY available
Estimated fill = 140,000 to 150,000 CY
Distance from base of bluff to berm = 125 feet
Berm elevation = 9 to 10 feet (NAVD88), slopes down 125H:1V (0.9%)
Beach face slopes down 12H:1V (8.3%) until contact with existing topography

Legend
- Beach Fill Elevation (ft)
  - 8 - 10
  - 6 - 8
  - 4 - 6
  - 2 - 4
- Borrow Area Elevation (ft)
  - 4 - 4
Project Background

- USACE has since determined that there is not a federal interest in pursuing a beach nourishment project.
- In lieu of federal funding, the Board of Harbor Commissioners voted, in late 2015, for the District to pursue a pilot Surfer’s Beach Replenishment Project.
Project Background

- February 2016: District submitted a grant application to Division of Boating and Waterways for $800,000 to fund the Project implementation (construction and monitoring).
- Grant request was approved and the District was notified in July 2017 that there is $800,000 in the California budget to implement the proposed pilot project.
Project Background

- April 2016: District submitted a funding request to California Ocean Protection Council (OPC) for a $75,000 Prop 84 grant to help pay for the Project Planning Phase.
- The OPC grant was approved in October 2016 and a grant agreement issued in June 2017, allowing the District to initiate the planning process.
Project Description

- The proposed Project involves one-time placement of approximately 75,000 cubic yards of sand.
- It is a “Pilot” project meant to study benefits and impacts.
- Extensive biological and physical monitoring will be included.
- Comprehensive planning process is now underway.
The overall goal is to address the accelerated coastal erosion rates as a result of the construction of the East Breakwater.

The Project will address impaired public access/recreational impacts and damages from coastal storms.

Benefits include: preventing or mitigating beach erosion and sea cliff retreat; improving protection of Highway 1 and other structures; increasing quality and quantity of public access and recreation; reducing the need for coastal armoring, and improving biological habitat.
Proposed Project Planning Process

- Planning Phase includes the following components:
  - Stakeholder collaboration and public outreach process
  - Project design and engineering
  - Environmental review
  - Permitting and agency consultation
  - Biological and physical monitoring design/planning

- Planning Phase now underway and will continue until project implementation, which is expected in late Summer or Fall 2018.
Project Implementation Phase

- Includes Project Construction and Biological and Physical Monitoring
- Construction anticipated to begin in late Summer or Fall of 2018 and take 1-3 months to complete.
- Project Monitoring to begin several months prior to construction and continue for up to 2-years thereafter.
Plans Recommending Potential BNBR at Surfer’s Beach

- Santa Cruz Littoral Cell RSM Plan
- North-Central CA Coast Climate Action Plan
- US Army Corps of Engineers North Half Moon Bay Continuing Authorities Program (CAP) 111 Study
- MBNMS Management Plan
  - Harbors and Dredge Disposal Action Plan
  - Coastal Armoring Action Plan
Regulatory Setting for Conducting Beach Nourishment from Beneficial Reuse
Federal Dredged Material Programs

- Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 covers ocean waters
- Clean Water Act covers coastal and inland waters
- National Environmental Policy Act requires consideration of environmental impacts

- US Army Corps of Engineers conducts Civil Works dredging projects and issues dredging permits
- US Environmental Protection Agency provides environmental criteria, review/concurrence of permits, and ocean disposal site designation and management

- Federal, State, and Local Agencies provide reviews and authorizations as appropriate
Agencies Likely Involved in Reviewing / Approving BRBN at Surfer’s Beach

**FEDERAL**
- US Army Corps of Engineers *(Permit under Section 404 CWA and Section 10 RHA)*
- US Environmental Protection Agency *(review under 404 CWA)*
- NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service *(consult under MMPA and ESA/EFH under MSFMCA)*
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service *(consult under MMPA/ESA)*

**STATE**
- Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board *(Water Quality Certification under Section 401 CWA / Porter-Cologne)*
- CA Coastal Commission *(Coastal Development Permit / consistency determination)*
- CA Department of Fish and Wildlife *(consult under CESA)*
MBNMS Regulation that May Apply to BRBN Projects

1) Discharging or depositing, from within or into the Sanctuary, any material or other matter

2) Discharging or depositing, from beyond the boundary of the Sanctuary, any material... that... enters and injures a Sanctuary resource or quality

3) Drilling into, dredging or altering submerged lands... or constructing, placing, or abandoning any structure... in the Sanctuary

4) Possessing, moving, removing or injuring a Sanctuary historical resource

5) Taking or possessing any marine mammal, sea turtle, or bird within or above the Sanctuary

6) Introducing or otherwise releasing introduced species
MBNMS Regulations

MBNMS regulations prohibit permitting or approving of the disposal of dredged material except at disposal sites that were authorized by EPA prior to designation of the Sanctuary:

(f) Notwithstanding paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, in no event may the Director issue a National Marine Sanctuary permit under 15 CFR 922.48 and 922.133 or a Special Use permit under section 310 of the Act authorizing, or otherwise approve: ...the disposal of dredged material within the Sanctuary other than at sites authorized by EPA (in consultation with COE) prior to January 1, 1993 (15 CFR 922.132(f))
Approval of BRBN actions under MBNMS Regulations:

**CAN ALLOW**
- Placing clean non-dredged material below Mean High Water (MHW) by issuing a permit
- Placing clean dredged material above MHW (would not require a permit, ONMS would provide input)

**CANNOT ALLOW**
- “Disposing” of clean dredged material below MHW
Handling Dredged Material (USACE and US EPA)

Dredge Material

Analyze sediment

Disposal Pathway

ODA

Plan for appropriate disposal

Upland or ocean disposal

Reuse Pathway

CWA

Develop restoration project

Beach nourishment action
CA Coastal Commission guidance on BRBN:

- CA Coastal Commission, Sea Level Rise Policy Guidance (August 2015):
- Establish a beach nourishment program and protocols
- Maintenance or restoration of natural sand supply
- Beneficial reuse of sediment through dredging management: Policies can be developed with an LCP and/or carried out through a CDP to facilitate delivery of clean sediment extracted from dredging to nearby beaches or wetland areas where needed.

San Mateo County LCP

- Limiting Shoreline Structures on Sandy Beaches

To avoid the need for future protective devices that could impact sand movement and supply, prohibit permanent structures on the dry sandy beach.
Goal for Surfer’s Beach
Restore natural habitat and beach function at the site

Question
Should we allow beneficial reuse of dredged material (specifically the placement of sand from inside Pillar Point Harbor below MHW at Surfer’s Beach)?

Considerations
material is tested and meets sanctuary permitting criteria & other agency requirements
the project is designed to avoid impacts to sanctuary resources
SAC Actions

- MARCH 2016 - GFNMS SAC recommendation:
  “articulate a definition of beneficial reuse of clean dredged materials from harbors or other appropriate sources at the Surfer’s Beach site.”

- No MBNMS SAC recommendation received yet

- Seeking feedback today

- Recommendations from SACs at upcoming meetings