

Climate Change Impacts Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary



July 2020



Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary is home to a great diversity of life from tiny zooplankton to huge northern elephant seals. Photo: NOAA

Our Changing Ocean

The impacts of <u>climate change</u> are intensifying both globally and locally, threatening America's physical, social, economic, and environmental <u>well-being</u>.¹ <u>National marine sanctuaries and marine national</u> <u>monuments</u> must contend with <u>rising water temperatures</u> and <u>sea levels</u>, water that is <u>more acidic</u> and <u>contains</u> <u>less oxygen</u>, <u>shifting species</u>, and <u>altered weather patterns and storms</u>.¹ While all of our sanctuaries and national monuments must face these global effects of climate change, each is affected differently.

Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary

<u>Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary</u> protects wildlife, habitats, and cultural resources over an area of 3,295 square miles off the northern and central California coast. Designated in 1981, and expanded in 2015, the sanctuary protects one of the most diverse and bountiful marine environments in the world. From kelp forests to waters around the Farallon Islands, the sanctuary provides feeding and breeding grounds for at least 25 endangered or threatened species, including blue whales and black abalone, and habitat for 350,000 wintering shorebirds, seabirds, and water birds as well as many fish including halibut, salmon, and herring.

The Changing Coastline

Numerous factors contribute to <u>rising global sea levels</u> including melting glaciers and <u>thermal expansion</u> of seawater. Factors such as currents and <u>changing land height</u> cause sea level to rise at different rates in different locations.² In the sanctuary, sea level has risen 9 inches since 1854³ and could rise by another 6 ft by 2100.^{1,4}

Sea level rise increases <u>coastal erosion</u> and will eventually drown beaches and rocky intertidal habitats in the sanctuary. This could inundate critical pupping and haul-out habitat for mammals such as <u>northern</u> <u>elephant seals</u> and <u>Steller sea lions</u> as well as nesting areas for birds like the American bittern and the threatened <u>western snowy plover</u>.^{5,6} In fact, 1.6 ft of sea level rise would permanently flood 5% of the Farallon Islands, reducing areas vital for seabird nesting and mammal haul-out.⁵ Intertidal species like mussels, oysters, and algae are also likely to be impacted by coastal stressors. Projected increases in



The habitats of many species in the sanctuary, such as Steller sea lions, could be degraded by sea level rise. *Photo: Bob Wilson/NOAA*

Case Study 1—Impacts Report Update

NOAA's 2010 Climate Change Impacts Report was the first to systematically assess the impacts of climate change on its resources. The report identified 11 major climate impacts and trends. In the intervening decade, our understanding of climate change has advanced and predictions have been refined. While some trends identified in the 2010 report remain the same, many are accelerating faster than initially expected. The below table summarizes each of the major climate trends from the original report and identifies if the trend remains the same ((**1**). Other changes projected in the report have already been, or are being, observed and are expected to increase (1). None were adjusted downward. Detailed information on trends can be found throughout this report.

Climate Trend from 2010 report	Change since 2010 report	Source
Up to 75 inches sea level rise by 2100		4
Increase in coastal erosion due to changes in sea level and storm waves		7
Decrease in Spring runoff due to decreases in Sierra snowpack		57
Increased precipitation variability with dryer dry years and wetter wet years		14,15, 60
Increase in sea surface temperature offshore and on the continental shelf		34
Increase in winds driving upwelling and associated decrease in surface temperature over continental shelf		35,36
Increase in extreme weather events		7,14, 15,60
Decrease in seawater pH		65,72
Northward shift in key species		40,48
Shift in dominant phytoplankton (from larger to smaller)	1	40
Climate impacts compounded by other human impacts		

the intensity of storms and waves^{7,8} could reduce the ability of these organisms to stay attached; rising waters may increase exposure to aquatic predators such as sea stars; and rising air temperatures may limit the ability of these organisms to move higher in the intertidal zone, narrowing available habitat.

Rising sea level also has the potential to threaten tidal marshes within the sanctuary. Salt marshes, like those in Tomales Bay, are important habitat for many species and provide ecological services like coastal protection and carbon sequestration.⁹⁻¹² These vibrant ecosystems sit at the interface of the land and ocean and depend on a steady supply of sediment from land to keep up with rising sea levels. Altered precipitation patterns, such as changes in snowpack, ¹³ more intense storms, and increases in the frequency and intensity of both extreme wet and extreme dry events,^{14,15} could alter the amount and timing of sediment delivered to tidal marshes in the sanctuary. Partly due to these projected changes in sediment supply, tidal marshes may be unable to keep up with the expected rate of sea level rise.^{16,17} In fact, tidal marshes in Bolinas Lagoon and elsewhere in the sanctuary could be completely lost by 2110 under extreme sea level rise projections.¹⁶ Yet, if marshes are allowed to migrate up shore, as proposed in the sanctuary's 2016 climate adaptation plan,¹⁸ a large portion of these important ecosystems could be saved, even under extreme sea level rise.¹⁶



Threatened western snowy plover depends on beaches that could be degraded or destroyed by climate-driven changes. *Photo: USFWS*

Case Study 2—"Blue Carbon" and Climate Change



Elelgrass beds are vibrant ecosystems that also act as important blue carbon habitats within the sanctuary. *Photo: George Clyde*

Within the sanctuary, plants such as <u>eelgrass</u> and <u>salt</u> <u>marsh grasses</u> collect carbon in their leaves, stems, and roots. When these plants die, some of this material is buried in the soil, where it can stay for hundreds or thousands of years.^{12,19,20} In this way, these "<u>blue</u> <u>carbon</u>" ecosystems pull carbon out of the atmosphere. Globally, blue carbon ecosystems could sequester 866 million tons of carbon per year,²⁰ more than double the annual emissions of California.²¹ While plants have mostly been identified as sources of blue carbon, there is growing recognition that soil and ocean animals also contribute to carbon storage and sequestration.²²⁻²⁴

The sanctuary is a reservoir of blue carbon habitats such as eelgrass and salt marshes, which collect and store carbon in sediments,^{12,20} as well as kelp.¹⁹ As

pieces of kelp break off, they can float up to 150 miles offshore²⁵ and sink to the deep ocean where their carbon can be stored for thousands or millions of years.¹⁹ In the region of the sanctuary, more than 100,000 tons of kelp can be transported through <u>offshore canyons</u> to the deep-sea every year.^{19,26} Globally, kelp and other <u>macroalgae</u> could sequester 200 million tons of carbon annually,¹⁹ more than 35 times the annual emissions of San Francisco.²⁷

While plants and algae may help mitigate climate change, blue carbon ecosystems are not immune to its impacts. Further, their disturbance or destruction could release stored carbon.^{28,29} Sea level rise may drown tidal marshes and eelgrass beds^{16,30} and warming waters threaten kelp reproduction.³¹ Anomalously warm waters from 2014-2016 caused a cascade of events resulting in the loss of 90% of the region's kelp canopy cover.³² Such climate impacts could alter the ability of blue carbon ecosystems to store carbon in the future.



The kelp forests in the sanctuary are important blue carbon habitats and vibrant ecosystems. Photo: Steve Lonhart/NOAA

🚽 Changing Ocean Temperatures

As global temperatures rise, the average ocean temperature is <u>increasing world</u> <u>wide</u>.¹ Water temperatures in the sanctuary have increased slightly over the past century,^{1,33} and offshore waters could warm 7°F by 2100.³⁴ Nearshore waters have cooled slightly, due to increased <u>upwelling</u> of cool deep water,⁵ and are expected to warm but remain cooler than offshore waters in the coming decades.^{35,36}

Warming waters hold less oxygen and could fall below the range of natural variability by 2030,³⁷ reducing habitat for species like rockfish.^{38,39} Higher temperatures can also cause shifts to smaller and less nutritious phytoplankton,40 increase sea star wasting disease,^{41,42} and lead to more frequent and more intense harmful algal blooms (HABs).^{43,44} HABs produce toxins that can harm wildlife, causing mass mortalities of sea lions, whales, seabirds, and other animals.^{45,46} Warming also encourages species to move to northern or deeper, cooler waters,⁴⁷ and could cause some species to decline in number.⁴⁸ Southern species like volcano barnacle and Humboldt squid could become more common in the sanctuary.⁴⁹

Many impacts of warming waters were observed during the 2014-2016 marine heatwave known as "The Blob."⁵⁰ Water temperatures in the sanctuary reached 7.2°F above normal^{49,50} causing many southern species to move northward,⁴⁸ fueling a large HAB,⁴⁰ and leading to reduced zooplankton prey.^{40,48} These changes altered the food web, causing mass mortalities of seabirds and marine mammals.^{40,49,51} The Blob also drove a series of effects that led to massive declines in kelp in the region, negatively impacting abalone,⁴⁸ while the HAB caused the early closure and delayed opening of the Dungeness crab fishery.^{40,49} Marine heatwaves are expected to increase in frequency and intensity,⁵² and The Blob may be a predictor of future conditions.⁴⁰







Many species in the sanctuary could be impacted by increasing water temperatures. Species IDs (top to bottom): Ochre sea stars, blackgill rockfish and pom pom anemone, black abalone. *Photos: Steve Lonhart/NOAA; NOAA; NOAA.*



Beaches in the sanctuary may be at risk from increased erosion as a result of stronger storms. *Photo: Matt McIntosh/NOAA*

Changing Weather and Storms

In the region of the sanctuary, winter storms have increased in frequency and intensity since 1950.^{5,53} However, changes to atmospheric circulation are expected to move future storms north, reducing the number to hit the region.^{54,55} While the total number of storms may decrease, their strength is expected to increase,^{1,56} and years with many storms are projected to become more common.⁷ Strong waves driven by these storms cause coastal erosion, exacerbating sea level rise impacts.^{5,7,8}

Climate change is also altering precipitation. <u>Snowpack</u> in the Sierras has declined in recent decades^{57,58} and areas historically dominated by

snow could receive only rain by 2050.⁵⁹ Increases in the frequency and intensity of both extreme rain and dry events are also expected,^{14,15} as well as more rapid transitions between wet and dry years.⁶⁰ The shift from snowpack to rain-dominated runoff, along with increases in extreme wet and dry events, could alter the timing and intensity of runoff and sediment into the sanctuary, impacting organisms that depend on streamflow, such as salmon, and ecosystems like marshes that require a steady sediment supply to keep up with sea level rise.

Weather in the sanctuary is also impacted by <u>El Niño</u>, which often exacerbates climate impacts by bringing large waves, occasionally wet conditions, reduced upwelling, and warmer water.^{61,62} These effects could intensify as the frequency and intensity of El Niño events are expected to increase in the coming century.⁶³

Ocean Acidification

Since the beginning of the industrial revolution, ocean waters globally have become 30% more acidic.^{64,65} Acidification in the sanctuary is being further accelerated by <u>upwelling</u>. Cool, nutrient-rich upwelled water fuels the sanctuary's ecosystem but is also more acidic than surface waters. Upwelling intensity has increased in recent decades, and is projected to continue to increase in the coming century.^{34,35} As a result, California waters have increased in acidity by up to 60% since 1895 and could increase 40% above 1995 levels by 2050.^{66,67}



Deep sea corals provide habitat for many species in the sanctuary but may be threatened by the impacts of ocean acidification. *Photo: NOAA*

Portions of the sanctuary's nearshore region are already acidic enough to impair the growth of shell-forming animals.^{68,69} Some locations experience these conditions for up to 55% of the year and could experience them up to 70% of the year by 2100.⁶⁹

Increasingly acidic waters make it difficult for organisms like coral and mussels to make and maintain their shells and stony skeletons. <u>Deep-sea corals</u> are particularly susceptible as the deep waters where they live are naturally more acidic than the surface.⁷⁰ Further, Dungeness crab could experience reduced larval survival⁷¹ and species from salmon and crabs to otters and whales could be indirectly affected through impacts on prey.^{72,73}

A Leader in a Changing Ocean

Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary provides a globally important example of how climate change can be successfully integrated into marine protected area management. Through the <u>Center for Collaboration</u> on <u>Ocean Climate</u>, a partnership with the Greater Farallones Association, NOAA has become a leader in ocean climate change assessment and adaptation. In 2016, NOAA published its <u>Climate Adaptation Plan</u>, which built on the results of the <u>2010 Climate Impacts Report</u> and <u>2015 Climate Vulnerability Assessment</u>. Sanctuary staff are also doing their part to mitigate climate change by evaluating and working to <u>reduce their emissions</u>.

In addition to working to mitigate, assess, and adapt to the impacts of climate change in California, NOAA has embraced its role as a national and global leader in sharing information and training other marine protected area managers on how to address climate change impacts. The sanctuary's leadership and accomplishments have been recognized through partnerships with the North American Commission for Environmental Cooperation and featured at the United Nations Convention on Climate Change Conference. By working at the local, state, national, and global levels, the sanctuary highlights marine protected areas as important tools for addressing climate change.



The staff and researchers of Greater Farallones work to understand the effects of climate change and share adaptation strategies. Photos: NOAA

Citations

- 1. USGCRP (2018) Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II. U.S. Global Change Research Program
- 2. Slagen et al. (2014) Projecting twenty-first century regional sea-level changes. Clim. Changes
- 3. NOAA (2017) Mean sea level trend: 9414290 San Francisco, California. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service
- 4. Jeverjeva et al. (2016). Coastal sea level rise with warming above 2 °C. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US
- 5. Largier et al. (2010) Climate Change Impacts: Gulf of the Farallones and Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuaries. Report of a Joint Working Group of the Gulf of the Farallones and Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuaries Advisory Councils
- 6. Funayama et al. (2011) Effects of sea-level rise on northern elephant seal breeding habitat at Point Reyes Peninsula, California. Aquat. Conserv,
- 7. Dettinger (2011) Climate change, atmospheric rivers, and floods in California-A multimodel analysis of storm frequency and magnitude changes. J. Am. Water Res. As.
- 8. Erikson et al. (2015) Projected wave conditions in the eastern North Pacific under the influence of two CMIP5 climate scenarios. Ocean Model.
- 9. Barbier et al. (2011) The value of estuarine coastal ecosystem services. Ecol. Monogr.
- 10. Shepard et al. (2011) The protective role of coastal marshes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One
- 11. Möller et al. (2014) Wave attenuation over coastal salt marshes under storm surge conditions. Nature Geosci.
- 12. Duarte et al. (2005) Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. Biogeosci.
- 13. Fyfe et al. (2012) Large near-term projected snowpack loss over the western United States. Nature Comms.
- 14. Warner et al. (2015) Changes in atmospheric rivers along the North American west coast in CMIP5 climate models. J. Hydrometeorol.
- 15. Wehner et al. (2017) Droughts, floods, and wildfires. In: Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I. U.S. Global Change Research Program. 16. Thorne et al. (2008) U.S. Pacific coastal wetland resilience and vulnerability to sea-level rise. Sci. Adv.
- 17. Weston et al. (2014) Declining sediments and rising seas: An unfortunate convergence for tidal wetlands. Estuar. Coasts
- 18. Hutto (2016) Climate adaptation plan. Report of the Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary
- 19. Krause-Jensen and Duarte (2016) Substantial role of macroalgae in marine carbon sequestration. Nature Geosci.
- 20. Duarte et al. (2017) Reviews and syntheses: Hidden forests, the role of vegetated coastal habitats in the ocean carbon budget. Biogeosci.
- 21. California Air Resources Board (2019) California greenhouse gas emissions inventory 2000-2017. 2019 Edition
- 22. Luntz and Martin (2014) Fish carbon: exploring marine vertebrate carbon services. GRID-Arendal, Arendal, Norway
- 23. Martin et al. (2016) An ecosystem services perspective for the oceanic Eastern Tropical Pacific: commercial fisheries, carbon storage, recreational fishing, and
- biodiversity. Front. Mar. Sci. 24. Chami et al. (2019) Nature's solution to climate change: A strategy to protect whales can limit greenhouse gases and global warming. Finance and Development
- 25. Hobday (2000) Abundance and dispersal of drifting kelp Macrocystis pyrifera rafts in the Southern California Bight. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
- 26. Harrold and Lisin (1989) Radio-tracking rafts of giant kelp: local production and regional transport. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
- 27. San Francisco Department of Environment (2018) 2016 San Francisco geographic greenhouse gas emissions inventory at a glance. SF DOE Climate Program
- 28. Pendleton et al. (2012) Estimating global "blue carbon" emissions from conversion and degradation of vegetated coastal ecosystems. PLos One
- 29. Macreadie et al. (2019) The future of Blue Carbon science. Nature Comms.
- 30. Short and Neckles (1999) The effects of global climate change on seagrasses. Aquat. Bot.
- 31. Hollarsmith et al. (2020) Varying reproductive success under ocean warming and acidification across giant kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) populations. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
- 32. Hohman et al. (2019) Sonoma-Mendocino bull kelp recovery plan. Plan for the Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary and the CA Department of Fish and Wildlife
- 33. Johnstone and Mantua (2014) Atmospheric controls on northeast Pacific temperature variability and change, 1900–2012. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US
- 34. Alexander et al. (2018) Projected sea surface temperatures over the 21st century: Changes in the mean, variability and extremes for large marine ecosystem regions of Northern Oceans. Elem. Sci. Anthrop.
- 35. Garcia-Reyes and Largier (2010) Observations of increased wind driven coastal upwelling off central California. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans
- 36. Xiu et al. (2018) Future changes in coastal upwelling ecosystems with global warming: The case of the California Current System. Sci. Rep.
- 37. Long et al. (2016) Finding forced trends in oceanic oxygen. Glob. Biogeochem. Cyc.
- 38. Koslow et al. (2011) Impact of declining intermediate-water oxygen on deepwater fishes in the California Current. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
- 39. Hamilton et al. (2017) Species-specific responses of juvenile rockfish to elevated pCO₂: From behavior to genomics. PLoS One
- 40. Cavole et al. (2016) Biological impacts of the 2013-2016 warm-water anomaly in the northeast Pacific: Winners, losers, and the future. Oceanography
- 41. Bates et al. (2019) Effects of temperature, season and locality on wasting disease in the keystone predatory sea star Pisaster ochraceus. Dis. Aquat. Organ.
- 42. Eisenlord et al. (2016) Ochre star mortality during the 2014 wasting disease epizootic: Role of population size structure and temperature. Philos. T. R. Soc. B.
- 43. Gobler et al. (2017) Ocean warming since 1982 has expanded the niche of toxic algal blooms in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US
- 44. McKibben et al. (2017) Climatic regulation of the neurotoxin domoic acid. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US
- 45. McCabe et al. (2016) An unprecedented coastwide toxic algal bloom linked to anomalous ocean conditions. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 46. Alther et al. (2010) Forecasting the consequences of climate-driven shifts in human behavior on cetaceans. Mar. Pol.
- 47. Poloczanska et al. (2013) Global imprint of climate change on marine life. Nature
- 48. Hobday et al. (2016) A hierarchical approach to defining marine heatwaves. Prog. Oceangr.
- 49. Sanford et al. (2019) Widespread shifts in the coastal biota of northern California during the 2014–2016 marine heatwaves. Sci Rep.
- 50. Gentemann et al. (2016) Satellite sea surface temperatures along the West Coast of the United States during the 2014-2016 northeast Pacific marine heat wave. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 51. DiLorenzo and Mantua (2016) Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 north Pacific marine heatwave. Nature Climate Change
- 52. Frölicher et al. (2018) Marine heatwaves under global warming. Nature
- 53. Graham and Diaz (2001) Evidence for intensification of north Pacific winter cyclones since 1948. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.
- 54. Salathé (2006) Influences of a shift in North Pacific storm tracks on western North American precipitation under global warming. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 55. Wang et al. (2017) Changes in northern hemisphere winter storm tracks under the background of Arctic amplification. J. Climate
- 56. Knutson et al. (2019) Tropical cyclones and climate change assessment: Part II. Projected response to anthropogenic warming. Bull. Am. Mar. Sci.
- 57. Sun et al. (2019) Understanding end-of-century snowpack changes over California's Sierra Nevada. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 58. Pederson et al. (2011) The unusual nature of recent snowpack declines in North America Cordillera. Science
- 59. Klos et al. (2014) Extent of the rain snow transition zone in the western U.S. under historic and projected climate. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 60. Swain et al. (2018) Increasing precipitation volatility in twenty-first-century California. Nature Climate Change
- 61. Allen and Komar (2006) Climate controls on US west coast erosion processes. J. Coast. Res.
- 62. Jacox et al. (2016) Impacts of the 2015–2016 El Niño on the California Current System: Early assessment and comparison to past events. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 63. Cai et al. (2014) Increasing frequency of extreme El Niño events due to greenhouse warming. Nature Climate Change
- 64. Haugan & Drange (1996) Effects of CO2 on the ocean environment. Energy Conv. Manag..
- 65. Doney et al. (2009) Ocean acidification: The other CO2 problem? Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.
- 66. Gruber et al. (2012) Rapid progression of ocean acidification in the California Current System. Science
- 67. Osborne et al. (2020) Decadal variability in twentieth-century ocean acidification in the California Current Ecosystem. Nature Geosci.
- 68. Davis et al. (2017) Ocean acidification compromises a planktic calcifier with implications for global carbon cycling . Svi Rep.
- 69. Chan et al. (2017) Persistent spatial structuring of coastal ocean acidification in the California Current System. Sci. Rep.
- 70. Gómez et al. (2018) Growth and feeding of deep-sea coral Lophelia pertusa from the California margin under simulated ocean acidification conditions. PeerJ
- 71. Bednaršek et al. (2020) Exoskeleton dissolution with mechanoreceptor damage in larval Dungeness crab related to severity of present-day ocean acidification vertical gradients. Sci. Tot. Enviro.
- 72. Hodgson et al. (2018) Consequences of spatially variable ocean acidification in the California Current: Lower pH drives strongest declines in benthic species in southern regions while greatest economic impacts occur in northern regions. Ecol. Model.
- 73. Bednaršek et al. (2017) Exposure history determines pteropod vulnerability to ocean acidification along the US West Coast. Sci. Rep.